

# St Paul Malmesbury Without Parish Council

Report #10.1

## October 2024 Planning Summary

### Applications Determined

No	Address	Description	Reference
695	Land west of Milbourne	Outline planning application (all matters reserved except access) for erection of up to 92 dwellings (including 40% affordable housing) together with associated access, parking, pedestrian and cycle routes, public open space, play space, landscaping, drainage and associated infrastructure Submission: <b>Objection</b> Decision: <b>Refuse on the 27/09/24736</b>	PL/2023/04996
735	Burnt Heath Farm, Common Road, SN16 0HL	Excavation of the site to form a clay lined agricultural slurry lagoon (covered) Decision: <b>Prior approval not required on 07/10/24</b>	PL/2024/07509
736	Eilmer House Arches Lane SN16 0EJ	Work to a number of trees within the property Submission: <b>No objection</b> Decision: <b>Approve with conditions on 24/09/24</b>	PL/2024/07624

### Applications Awaiting Review

No	Address	Description	Reference	Consultation Deadline
702	Annex to rear of Brookside Cottage, Mill Lane, SN16 0HH	Retrospective permission for the change of use of previously approved garage with office, to a garage with ancillary annexe above	PL/2023/08255	29 <sup>th</sup> Oct
787	The Bungalow, Milbourne, SN16 9JA	Single storey side extension to the west of the dwelling	PL/2024/09100	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov
788	Frith House, Mill Lane, SN16 0HH	Replace and revise rear roof structure, add rear extensions as shown	PL/2024/09251	14 <sup>th</sup> Nov

### Proposed Comments

- 702 "If an application had been submitted back in 2012 for a separate residential property in this location it would in all probability have been refused under the terms of Policy C3. On a general point, the council is fundamentally opposed to the retrospective application process being used as a backdoor method of obtaining consent for proposals that would otherwise have been considered unacceptable'. This was the comment made to the very first application and it's difficult to see passed a repeat of it for this third attempt at obtaining consent for a new residential dwelling. Ideally a local view will be available from the two Corston councillors to assist the discussion
- 787 A more modest proposal from the one that was dismissed at Appeal; suggest **no objection**
- 788 Ideally a local view will be available from the two Corston councillors, however its difficult to identify any points on which to object; suggest **no objection**

### Planning Update